

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/620,981	. 07/16/2003	Roy M. Brooks	CIS03-25(7365)	8822		
7590 07/26/2007 Barry W. Chapin, Esq. CHAPIN & HUANG, L.L.C. Westborough Office Park			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			TO, BAOTRAN N			
1700 West Park		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Westborough, I	MA 01581	2135				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			07/26/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/620,981	BROOKS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Baotran N. To	2135		

	Baotran N. To	2135					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 19 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff	Appeal. To avoid aba īdavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31: or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
	pliance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	filed within two month					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	ecause				
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		cotoa ciamio.					
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\subseteq \) will not be entered, or b) \(\subseteq \) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> .							
Claim(s) objected to: <i>NONE</i> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5, 7-13, 16-23, 25 and 27-39</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 6, 14-15, 24, and	26 (CANCELED).						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	at before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a				
IO. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	ice because:				
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
	•						

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

(Continuation of 3): Claims 1-5, 7-13, 16-23, 25 and 27-39 are not entered because:

Applicants propose to amend independent Claim 1 with limitation "rerouting all message traffic including directing the filter complex from a network management server in communication with the filter complex, the network management server operable to send a reroute message to the filter complex." The amended limitation changes the scope of the independent Claim 1. Therefore, it is needed for reconsideration and search.

Applicants propose to amend independent Claim 19 with limitation "the network management server further operable to send a reroute message to the filter complex, in response to which the filter complex is operable to reroute the message traffic, the reroute message indicative of the filtering complex receiving message traffic according to the first transport mechanism intended for the target node via a target node router serving the target node." The amended limitation changes the scope of the independent Claim 19. Therefore, it is needed for reconsideration and search.

Applicants propose to amend independent Claims 36-39 with limitation "rerouting all message traffic including directing the filter complex from a network management server in communication with the filter complex, the network management server operable to send a reroute message to the filter complex, the reroute message indicative of the filtering complex receiving message traffic according to the first transport mechanism intended for the target node via a target node router serving the target node." The amended limitation changes the scope of the independent Claims 36-39. Therefore, it is needed for reconsideration and search.

KIM VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100